

From: "Raul Estrada Oyuela" <eoy@ciudad.com.ar

To: "AUBREY MEYER" <aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com>, <jtrobe@wm.edu>, <parksbc@mcc.gov>

Cc: <Jolene.Butt@tandf.co.uk>, <k.j.w.oosthoek@ncl.ac.uk>, <b.k.gills@ncl.ac.uk>

Subject: Re: Significant error in Routledge Globalization paper

Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2011 23:17:56 -0300

Dear Aubrey, Dear Mr. Roberts and Dear Mr. Parks

I apologize for my delay in responding to comments on how Contraction and Conversion were dealt with during our last night at Kyoto.

First, it will be against the rules of the chairing trade endorsing the position of the USA in such sensitive issue, as suggested in the reference attributed to Michael Grubbs. Michael knows that very well.

Second, I do not remember doing so and the webcast of the COP 3 COW fully support my memory.

I knew that night in Kyoto was not the opportunity to advance C&C and I simply continue giving the floor to different delegations. China and particularly Mr. Sharma from India were strongly objecting the entitlement of Parties for emissions trading. That is a very solid argument to the point that today the EU is not buying paper tons from Russia or Ukraine.

From the ethical approach, C&C is one of the best options, perhaps together with the Brazilian proposal. In my first intervention at the INC in Chantilly, Virginia, in early 1991, as Delegate of Argentina, my position was for a system of equitable level of emissions per capita. But political realities do not always allow the best moral choice. The objective in Kyoto was –and today is- to have the US in the game. With the text of art.17, agreed in separate consultations with the US and India, we adopted the Protocol unanimously. Only in Marrakech most trading details were settled.

Yours

Raul A. Estrada-Oyuela

Buenos Aires 1425

ARGENTINA

----- Original Message -----

From: AUBREY MEYER

To: jtrobe@wm.edu ; parksbc@mcc.gov

Cc: eoy@ciudad.com.ar ; Jolene.Butt@tandf.co.uk ; k.j.w.oosthoek@ncl.ac.uk ; b.k.gills@ncl.ac.uk

Sent: Friday, February 25, 2011 9:34 AM

Subject: RE: Significant error in Routledge Globalization paper

Dear Messrs Parks and Roberts

RE: Significant error in Routledge Globalization paper

Further to my efforts to reach you via Routledge, I draw to your attention a significant error in the paper at: -

http://www.centrodametropole.org.br/static/uploads/roberts_and_parks.pdf

On page 202 you state: -

"Grubb and his colleagues (1999) describe one very telling interaction between rich and poor nations at the Kyoto negotiations that lasted late into the evening. At 3 o'clock in the morning, amidst heated debate over global emissions trading, China, India, and the Africa Group of Nations expressed their strong support for a per capita allocation of global atmospheric property rights.

Chairman Raul Estrada and a representative of the US delegation responded that the 'Contraction and Convergence' proposal was a political non-starter and negotiations were immediately brought to a close."

The emboldened section of this quote is not correct. Mr Grubb's recollections probably relate to off-the-record talk in the corridors.

The transcript of the session in question: - http://www.gci.org.uk/COP3_Transcript.pdf
drew a quite different - and encouraging - response from the US Delegation as follows: -

Chairman Raul Estrada:

"I thank you very much. May I ask sk again the distinguished delegate of the USA if they have another suggestion to propose in connection with the proposals made by the distinguished delegate of India He does."

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"It does seem to us that the proposals by for example India and perhaps by others who speak to Contraction and Convergence are elements for the future, elements perhaps for a next agreement that we may ultimately all seek to engage in."

It seems appropriate to me that your comment on the record of this is adjusted to reflect the above and I hope you agree.

The above also relates to the equivalent sections you provided for the Routledge book*

I have copied this to my long-term friend and colleague Raul Estrada, who I feel sure will be happy to corroborate this.

With kind regards

Aubrey Meyer

GCI

cc Raul Estrada

Jolene Butt

Barry Gills

Kornelis Oosthoek

* The Globalization of Environmental Crisis (Rethinking Globalizations) [Paperback]

Jan Oosthoek (Editor), Barry K. Gills (Editor)

Date: Thu, 24 Feb 2011 17:00:52 +0000

To: "Butt, Jolene" <Jolene.Butt@tandf.co.uk

From: Aubrey Meyer <aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com

Subject: RE: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge Globalization paper

Thank you Jolene. I understand.

Could you please forward to me the name and email contact of the relevant editors?

Kind regards

Aubrey

At 16:59 24/02/2011, you wrote:

Dear Aubrey,

Thank you for your email. The last I heard was that the editors had passed your correspondence on to the original authors of the article so that they could respond directly to you, as they felt was appropriate.

Best wishes,

Jolene

From: Aubrey Meyer [mailto:aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com]

Sent: 24 February 2011 07:57

To: Butt, Jolene

Subject: RE: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge Globalization paper

Dear Jolene

With the same request for an update on the situation enquiring about the significant error in Routledge Globalization paper, I am sending this letter to you again in case you did not receive it.

Are you yet in position to provide me with more information please?

With kind regards

Aubrey Meyer

GCI

~~~~~

Dear Jolene

Thank you again for your letter of the 7th of January.

Since I have not heard from the journal editor you mentioned, I am wondering if there has been any further response on this matter news that you know of and which you can relay to me please?

With kind regards

Aubrey Meyer

GCI

At 14:46 07/02/2011, you wrote:

Dear Aubrey,

Thanks again for notifying us about this. It happens that the same journal editor is involved in the production of the rethinking globalizations series so I have forward your message on so that he can respond to you on both matters.

Best wishes,

Jolene

-----Original Message-----

From: Aubrey Meyer [mailto:aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com]

Sent: 07 February 2011 14:37

To: Butt, Jolene

**Subject: RE: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge Globalization paper**

Dear Jolene

Thank you acting on this and for sending me this message. As you indicated, I will wait to hear from the editors of Globalizations once they have been in touch with the authors of the paper.

May I now add that the same significant error as expressed in the article, was also subsequently repeated by these authors in a Routledge publication: -

The Globalization of Environmental Crisis (Rethinking Globalizations)

K. Gills (Editor)

Paperback: 256 pages

Publisher: Routledge; 1 edition (24 Dec 2007) Language English

ISBN-10: 0415464315

ISBN-13: 978-0415464314

Are you in a position please to contact the editors of this Routledge publication as well?

With kind regards

Aubrey Meyer

At 14:22 07/02/2011, Butt, Jolene wrote:

Dear Mr Meyer,

Thank you for your email. I am pleased to inform you it has been passed on to the editors of Globalizations. Once they have had chance to speak to the authors of the article concerned I am sure they will be in touch with you.

Best wishes,

Jolene

Jolene Butt

Production Editor, Politics and International Relations Taylor & Francis  
4 Park Square | Milton Park | Abingdon | OX14 4RN | UK  
tel: +44 (0) 207 017 7649 | fax: +44 (0) 207 017 6336  
From: Howard, Sherry On Behalf Of Author Queries  
Sent: 07 February 2011 10:44

To: Butt, Jolene

Subject: FW: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge

Globalization paper

From: Dawson, Jane On Behalf Of Academic Journals Amendments

Sent: 07 February 2011 08:51

To: Author Queries

Subject: FW: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge

Globalization paper

From: AUBREY MEYER [mailto:[aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com](mailto:aubrey.meyer@btinternet.com)]

Sent: 06 February 2011 16:02

To: Academic Journals Amendments

Cc: colin Challen; [terry.oconnell@blueyonder.co.uk](mailto:terry.oconnell@blueyonder.co.uk)

Subject: Enquiry about significant error in Routledge Globalization  
paper

To Whom It may Concern

I am not sure if you can help with this enquiry but I would be grateful if you could, or if you can direct me to the editorial department of the publisher concerned.

With thanks

Aubrey Meyer

Director GCI

Consultant to the All Party Parliamentary Group on Climate Change, UK House of Commons.

I write concerning significant errors in the following Article: -

Fuelling Injustice: Globalization, Ecologically Unequal Exchange and

Climate Change J. TIMMONS ROBERTS & BRADLEY C. PARKS The College of

William and Mary, Williamsburg VA Millennium Challenge Corporation,

Washington, DC Corporation Globalizations June 2007, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 193-210

[http://www.centrodametropole.org.br/static/uploads/roberts\\_and\\_parks.pdf](http://www.centrodametropole.org.br/static/uploads/roberts_and_parks.pdf)  
[http://www.centrodametropole.org.br/static/uploads/roberts\\_and\\_parks.pdf](http://www.centrodametropole.org.br/static/uploads/roberts_and_parks.pdf)

This article contains the following section which is inconsistent with the transcript of the session at UNFCCC COP-3 1997: - "Grubb and his colleagues (1999) describe one very telling interaction between rich and poor nations at the Kyoto negotiations that lasted late into the evening. At 3 o'clock in the morning, amidst heated debate over global emissions trading, China, India, and the Africa Group of Nations expressed their strong support for a per capita allocation of global atmospheric property rights. Chairman Raul Estrada and a representative of the US delegation responded that the Contraction and Convergence™ „ç pÄ-Äç„Äç proposal was a political non-starter and negotiations were immediately brought to a close."

This transcript of the session at UNFCCC COP-3 1997 in question is here: -

[http://www.gci.org.uk/COP3\\_Transcript.pdf](http://www.gci.org.uk/COP3_Transcript.pdf)  
[http://www.gci.org.uk/COP3\\_Transcript.pdf](http://www.gci.org.uk/COP3_Transcript.pdf)

Contrary to what is asserted in the extract above, the relevant exchange at this sessions reads as follows: -

ZIMBABWE: [for the Africa Group]

*"http://www.gci.org.uk/Yvo\_de\_Boer.html . . . . we do support the amendment that is proposed by the distinguished delegation from India, and just to emphasise the point of the issues that still need a lot of clarification would like to propose in that paragraph the inclusion, after 'entitlements' that is the proposal by the delegation of India, the following wording; after 'entitlements, the global ceiling date and time for contraction and convergence of global emissions because we do think that you cannot talk about trading if there are not entitlements, also there is a question of contraction and convergence of global emissions that comes into play when you talk about the issue of equity . . . . "*

Chairman:

*I thank you very much. .... May I ask again the distinguished delegate of the USA if they have another suggestion to propose in connection with the proposals made by the distinguished delegate of India - He does.*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

*" . . . It does seem to us that the proposals by for example India and perhaps by others who speak to Contraction and Convergence are elements for the future, elements perhaps for a next agreement that we may ultimately all seek to engage in . . . "*

~~~~~

Aubrey Meyer

GCI

57 Howard Road

LONDON E17 4SH

www.gci.org.uk
