

At Tyndall Conference yesterday Naomi Klein [and this would be true also of 350 McKibben, Hansen 'Fee & Dividend' & other similar approaches] expressed 'movement-building' in terms of stepping up attacks on fossil fuel production rather than consumption.

Klein's approach is good and fine and she was fully justified in drawing attention to some successes with the 'divestment' campaign as a part of that and various other adversarial actions [anti-fracking/tar-sands etc.].

But as some argue demand drives supply [consumption drives production].

However and that said, hers are 'tactics' and when these are set against the McKibben budget of 154 Gt C or the Hansen Budget of 171 Gt C, to which they obviously relate, it is hard to see how: -

[a] the tactics tally against the very limited carbon budgets now available [which necessitate very steep reductions]: - <http://www.gci.org.uk/cbat-domains-Hansen-McKibben-Betts/Domains.swf> and

[b] they could take all that to UNFCCC with any expectation that negotiators will actually negotiate these tactics rather than any strategy? [It is bad enough already which they acknowledge and that approach would make it worse].

These carbon budgets are defined as 'emissions' [consumption/demand] and the sharing of that is defined as C&C: - <http://www.gci.org.uk/cbat-domains/Domains.swf> and that is 'strategy'.

So the bottom line is if supply and demand are in balance subject to a/the budget that equals UNFCCC-compliance, then the strategy governs the tactics.

The tactics will help deliver the strategy but no strategy means no deal and the tactics become increasingly fraught as the corporate Barbarossa rolls on

There has to be a C&C-equivalent-deal at the UNFCCC.

We should argue what we're for, above what we're against - equality under the law/[limit] [Lincoln] more than against slavery to fossil fuel interests . . .

And we should sell that as "Truth and Reconciliation" and "Climate Justice Without Vengeance".

It's hopeless attacking the fossil fuel sector and the UNFCCC in the same pass and expecting to get a 'global justice-based deal'.