

Keeping within the 250 GtC Carbon Budget gets harder and harder the more emission restraint is delayed

If we go b-a-u to: -

- A - 2010 [this has already past] we had reached output ~ 10.9 Gt C/yr and from there we had ~45 years to go to zero
- B - 2015 [inevitable we're here already] we reach output ~ 11.6 Gt C/yr and from there we have ~35 years to go to zero
- C - 2020 [is what we are told by 'negotiators'] we reach output ~ 12.5 Gt C/yr and from there we have ~20 years to go to zero
- D - 2025 [is what fossil fuel interests want?] we reach output ~ 13 Gt C/yr and from there we have ~10 years to go to zero
- E - 2030 [what we seem to wander towards anyway] we reach output ~ 13.75 Gt C/yr and from there we ~1 year to go to zero

Conclusion: -

it is increasingly difficult to get the penny to drop, and b-a-u [below] is unrealistically 'linear' - the b-a-u reality is 'acceleration' curvature. it looks more and more like we're going to bust 250 Gt C and 450 ppmv and 2 degrees within about +20 years ... and therefore it looks more and more likely we're going to achieve runaway rates of climate change ...

