



# JAMES HANSEN CONTRADICTS HIMSELF & THE UK CLIMATE ACT (WHICH HE ALSO CONDEMNS)

<http://www.plosone.org/article/fetchObject.action?uri=info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pone.0081648&representation=PDF>


## 1. 'Too weak' HANSEN ON THE UK Climate Act



## 2. HANSEN IN THE US



## 3. HANSEN IN CHINA



Hansen advocated scenario '3' to the Chinese Government, (02 2014) saying: - "a target of limiting warming to 1.5 °C is feasible, the principal requirement is fossil fuel emissions peak in 2020 & then come down at 2% a year with 27 Gt C drawdown from improved forestry & agriculture."

To the UK Parliament (06 2013) he said, "the UKCA target of 2° is too weak", while & in the US he advocates scenario 2

<http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/cm201314/cmselect/cmenvaud/uc60-i/uc6001.htm>

His China Budget (3) is 516 Gt C, showing a 440 ppmv concentration outcome & temperature below 1.5 ° his US Budget (2) is 171 Gt C showing 350 ppmv & a 1.5 ° outcome and the UKCA Budget '(1) is 480 Gt C, showing a 423 ppmv outcome, & temperature rising above 2°.

NOTE - both models omit significant feedback effects.

