The sheer enormity of the Global Carbon Debt that HMG want you to forget (it has been a heist). HMG must acknowledge & correct this at COP-26.

All numbers & charts at: - http://www.gci.org.uk/Climate_Litigation.html

	SHARES (EQUAL PER CAPITA)		ACTUAL (UNEQUAL PER CAPITA)			RESOLVE DEBIT:CREDIT	
DEBITORS	48%	238 Gt C	78%	386 Gt C	DEBIT	-30%	-149 Gt
CREDITORS	52%	257 Gt C	22%	109 Gt C	CREDIT	30%	149 Gt 0
TOTAL	100%	495 Gt C	100%	495 Gt C			









Dangerous & unethical chaos is worsened by ignoring this Carbon Debt. The only basis for correcting it is with a rational global sharing agreement. **Continuing the global per capita average of carbon consumption as the reference** is the necessary basis for now collectively achieving the 'carbon contraction imperative'.



The DOTTED YELLOW LINE is the Global Per Capita average (shown below 1750-2050). The PAST per capita average of Carbon Debitors (in RED) is ABOVE the global per capita average NB this has absorbed the Global Cabon CREDIT (shown in Green) see left for gross carbon tonnages.



GLOBAL AGREEMENT

Global Commons Institute has said since 1996, given the sheer enormity of the carbon-debt, accelerating the future rate of convergence relative to the global rate of carbon contraction is unarguably a necessary precondition of a UNFCCC-compliant global agreement.

This means the global per capita average of future carbon consumption needs to be calculated as a reference-path, so future 'carbon-entitlements' are national-populations times that refence value.

Doing this addresses the history of both the randomness & the inequity of "expansion & divergence" in carbon-consumption patterns globally since 1750.

A rational global foundation of 'equity in adversity' is self-evidently a necessary precondition of survival. As the UNFCCC Secretariat said at COP 9, "contraction & convergence is inevitably required for CO2 stabilization (UNFCCC-Compliance)". http://www.gci.org.uk/UNFCCC_Compliance.html

A fully detailed compensation scheme for climate damages caused by excessive carbon consumption is laid out here: http://www.gci.org.uk/Climate_Litigation.html

NO GLOBAL AGREEMENT

Carbon Brief (HMG) has presented a policy-model which projects that present & future carbon-users must just start reducing their per capita emissions, whatever per capita emissions level they're at above or below the global per capita average. From there all will converge towards zero emissions.

Claiming this is based on 'scientific modelling', they do state the shortcoming of this approach is its inequity.

The model comes from Ben Caldecott, an economist at the James Martin Institute at Oxford University.

The shortcomings of this are its inequity but also its randomness. There is no shared global reference. As laid out by Global Commons Institute since 1996:

[a] address the inequity of the past [b] acknowledge the huge carbon-debt [c] address the massive structural advantage directly obtained through this unethical process [d] recognize the double-jeopardy this creates [e] declare global climate emergency and then [f] invite all present & future parent's & children to seek resolution of this double-jeopardy on a 'per capita basis', sharing fairly & rationally the global carbon budget that's left. Argued since 1990, in a phrase it's called

'Contraction & Convergence' (C&C) and C&C has unrivalled support globally.

As C&C became the basis of the UK's 'Global Climate Act', John Gummer (now CCC Chair) & Zac Goldsmith said in 2008, "C&C is morally & intellectually coherent".

Former CCC Chair Adair Turner said, "The Act is C&C & Convergence must be acclerated relative to Contraction."

Correcting any irrational unethical pre-distribution of the global carbon budget that's left is simple.

As UK Environment Secretary Michael Gove has said, "collective action can make a profound difference"."